

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Rejection of Claims 1-36 Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In response to the rejection, Applicant has amended Claims 1, 10, 22 and 36 as suggested by the Examiner. Reconsideration of Claims 1, 10, 22 and 36 is respectfully submitted.


Conclusion

In light of the above remarks to the claims, Applicant contends that claimed invention is patentable thereover. Claims 1-36 are now in condition for favorable consideration and allowance of Claims 1-36 are most respectfully requested.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 07-1337 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP


Benjamin J. Hauptman
Registration No. 29,310

1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
Telephone: (703) 684-1111
Facsimile: (703) 518-5499
Date: June 9, 2004